

### III. REMARKS

In the Office Action, Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11 and 14-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Tayloe (US 5933785) for reasons set forth in the Action. Claims 6, 10, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Tayloe in view of the article by Lammerts, and claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Tayloe in view of Imura (US 6513719) for reasons set forth in the Action.

The following argument is believed to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, in view of the foregoing amendments, so as to show the presence of allowable subject matter in the claims.

The Examiner continues to reject the claims based on the primary reference, Tayloe US (5 933 785).

The teaching of Tayloe is directed to a different problem than the problem treated by the present application. The Tayloe teaching is directed to several different embodiments which appear to be interlocked. A citation to Tayloe may or may not include parts of several embodiments or not. For example, Tayloe depicts one user with several phone numbers, and several users having several phone numbers in one SIM card. Also, there is an embodiment in which the terminal can function even without a SIM card inserted in the terminal (column 6 at lines 39-49).

Tayloe may be ambiguous in the matter of several users having only one PIN code, or does one user have several PIN codes as may be interpreted by the Examiner ("an additional PIN code" mentioned in column 5 at line 58). An additional PIN code can

also be interpreted to mean, in Tayloe, that the system functions also without any PIN code input (column 6 at lines 39-49) and that only in some situation is a PIN code input needed.

However, in all depicted embodiments of Tayloe, one SIM card can (must) include several real "phone numbers". They can be active at the same time for incoming calls. For outgoing calls, the user can select a real phone number that he may want to use. Tayloe refers to the real phone numbers as "multiple universal numbers". Tayloe has specified the word in column 4 on lines 35-39. As described in the Office Action, "the universal number" means the same as the "identification data" of the present claims. Based on this understanding, the examiner argues that Tayloe anticipates the present independent claims.

The "identification data" included in the present independent claims is connected to a user identity and not to a certain phone number. In order to make the difference clearer, as compared to Tayloe, the present claims have been amended. The amendatory language sets forth in the claims a feature "identification data" with "personal identification code". This wording serves to differentiate personal codes (identification) from real phone numbers ("universal numbers"). The amendment also depicts the situation wherein several users can share one terminal having one SIM card, and wherein the terminal has only one connection active at a time.


Claim 3 is canceled in view of the inclusion of its subject matter into the amended claim 1.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are

clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below

A check in the amount of \$790.00 is enclosed for the "RCE" fee. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Geza C. Ziegler, Jr.  
Reg. No. 44,004

30 May 2006  
Date

Perman & Green, LLP  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06824  
(203) 259-1800  
Customer No.: 2512

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: 30 May 2006

Signature:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Person Making Deposit